

# 2022 Admitted Graduate Student Survey Report- SONHP

### **Table of Contents**

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE SURVEY	3
PURPOSE	3
ORIGINATORS	
DATA COLLECTION	
KEY FINDINGS	
APPLICATION AND ACCEPTANCE TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS	
COLLEGE CHARACTERISTICS	
FINANCIAL FACTORS	
COST OF ATTENDANCE	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
DEMOGRAPHICS	5
SCHOOL/COLLEGE	5
INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS	5
DECISION TO ATTEND OR NOT ATTEND USF	5
PARTICIPANTS WHO BEGAN ATTENDING USF	5
At what point decided to attend	
PARTICIPANTS WHO DID NOT BEGIN ATTENDING USF	
At what point decided not to attend	
Attending another college or university	8
Primary reason for not attending any college or university	9
APPLICATION AND ACCEPTANCE TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS	9
COLLEGE CHARACTERISTICS	11
ALL SONHP PARTICIPANTS	11
Level of Importance of Characteristics in Choosing College or Universit	
Rating of USF Characteristics	
THOSE WHO DID NOT ATTEND USF	
Factors that influenced decision not to attend USF	
Rating of institution they are attending	
Rating of institution they are attending vs. ratings of USF	
THOSE WHO BEGAN ATTENDING USF	
Factors that influenced decision to attend USF	17
FINANCIAL FACTORS	19
FINANCIAL AID/ASSISTANCE	
Applied for federal financial aid	
Type of aid received from USF	19
ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS	20

### General Information about the Survey

### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this survey was to learn how applicants admitted to USF graduate programs perceive USF and the areas that influenced their decisions to attend, or not attend.

#### **ORIGINATORS**

The survey was developed by the Office of Assessment & Accreditation Support in collaboration with the Office of Graduate Enrollment.

### **DATA COLLECTION**

**Survey Population:** The survey was sent to 2641 people who were admitted in Summer and Fall 2022 to graduate programs in the College of Arts & Sciences, School of Management, School of Nursing & Health Professions, and the School of Education. The School of Law did not participate.

**Instrument:** Qualtrics

**Period:** September 20, 2022 – October 10, 2022

**Response Rate:** The overall response rate for the survey was 17% (445 responses). This includes participants who indicated they applied to the College of Arts & Sciences, School of Management, School of Nursing & Health Professions, and the School of Education.

### **Key Findings**

### APPLICATION AND ACCEPTANCE TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS

The highest proportion (29%) of participants applied only to three institutions.

69% of all participants indicated that USF was their first choice. Of those who began attending USF, 74% indicated that USF was their first choice.

### **COLLEGE CHARACTERISTICS**

The top three characteristics that participants indicated were Extremely or Very Important when choosing a college or university were job outcomes/career opportunities (95%), academic rigor (87%), and diversity of faculty/staff (85%). When asked to rate characteristics of USF, 87% rated academic rigor as Excellent or Good.

Out of 30 factors to select from, the highest percentage (53%) of those who did not accept their offer of admission or did not begin attending USF rated affordability of San Francisco/Bay area as a factor that influenced their decision not to attend USF.

Those who began attending another college or university, on average, rated all characteristics of the college they are attending higher than they rated the same characteristics of USF, with the exception of religious affiliation. The highest percentage (89%) of participants rated academic rigor at the institution they began attending as Excellent or Good.

The highest percentage (71%) of participants who began attending USF indicated that academic rigor influenced their decision to attend USF.

### **FINANCIAL FACTORS**

79% of participants indicated that they applied for federal financial aid at USF and the majority (92%) of those who applied for federal financial aid received aid.

Of those who began attending USF, the highest proportion (81%) applied for federal financial aid at USF.

41% of all participants received a scholarship from USF.

## **Demographics**

### SCHOOL/COLLEGE

15% of participants indicated they were accepted to the College of Arts & Sciences.

#### **INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS**

Participants indicated whether or not they were an international student and, if so, if they were living outside the US when they applied to USF.

- 16% of SONHP participants were international students.
- Of those, 64% indicated they were living outside the US when they applied to USF.

### Decision to Attend or Not Attend USF

Participants were asked to indicate whether or not they accepted their offer of admission.

- 79% of SONHP participants accepted their offer of admission
- 21% of SONHP participants did not accept their offer of admission

Those who indicated that they accepted their offer of admission were then asked whether or not they began attending USF. Of the SONHP participants who accepted their offer of admission (and responded to this question):


- 94% began attending USF
- 6% did not begin attending USF

### PARTICIPANTS WHO BEGAN ATTENDING USF

Participants who indicated they began attending USF were asked the extent to which they agreed with the following statement using a 5-pt. scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree): I made the right decision to attend USF.

• The <u>highest</u> proportion of SONHP participants (92%) Agreed or Strongly Agreed that they made the right decision to at attend USF.

The following figure details the proportion of SONHP participants who selected each response option.




### At what point decided to attend

Participants who began attending USF were asked to indicate at what point they decided to attend USF using the following response options: When I received my notification of acceptance; When I learned how much I would receive in scholarships/grants from USF; When I learned how much I would receive in scholarships/grants from another institution; After I attended an accepted student event at USF; After I visited campus; After I attended a class session open to accepted students; After I attended a faculty talk; After I attended a student panel; After I attended a one-on-one faculty appointment; After I spoke/met with current students; After I spoke/met with alumni; After I spoke/met with someone from a USF professional advisory board; and At another time. No participants provided responses to *At another time*.

• The <u>highest</u> proportion (74%) of SONHP participants selected when I received my notification of acceptance.

The following figure details the proportion of SONHP participants who selected each response option.




#### PARTICIPANTS WHO DID NOT BEGIN ATTENDING USF

Participants who indicated they did not begin attending USF were asked the extent to which they agreed with the following statement using a 5-pt. scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree): I made the right decision <u>not</u> to attend USF.

- The <u>highest</u> proportion of SONHP participants (47%) Neither Agreed nor Disagreed that they made the right decision not to attend USF.
- 24% of those SONHP participants who did not attend **Disagreed** or **Strongly Disagreed** that they made the right decision not to attend.






#### At what point decided not to attend

Participants who did not accept their offer of admission or accepted their offer, but did not begin attending USF, were asked to indicate at what point they decided not to attend USF from the following response options: When I received my notification of acceptance; When I received admittance to another institution; When I learned how much I would receive in scholarships/grants from USF; When I learned how much I would receive in scholarships/grants from another institution; After I attended an accepted student event at USF; After I visited campus; After I attended a class session open to accepted students; After I attended a faculty talk; After I attended a student panel; After I attended a one-on-one faculty appointment; After I spoke/met with current students; After I spoke/met with alumni; After I spoke/met with someone from a USF professional advisory board; and At another time. When I received my notification of acceptance; and At another time. Participants' responses to *At another time* can be found in *Appendix A, 1.1*.

 The <u>highest</u> proportion (35%) of SONHP participants selected when I received admittance to another institution

The following figure details the proportion of SONHP participants who selected each response option.



### Attending another college or university

Those who did not accept their offer of admission or accepted their offer, but did not begin attending USF were asked to indicate if they chose to attend a college or university other than USF or if they are <u>not</u> attending any college or university at this time.

The below data indicate the proportion of SONHP participants who selected each response option:

- Not attending any college or university at this time = 29%
- Chose to attend a college or university other than USF = 71%

Participants who indicated that they chose to attend a college or university other than USF were asked to indicate in an open text field the name of the college or university they began attending. Not all names below are verbatim, some names of institutions have been formatted for consistency, when necessary.

Name of College or University	Count of Participants Writing in this Institution
Carlow University	1
UC Davis	1
University of Denver	1
Cal State LA	1
Berkeley	1
Azusa pacific university	1
UCI	1
LLU	1
University of Wisconsin	1
University of Aberdeen	1
Loma Linda University	1

### Primary reason for not attending any college or university


Those who indicated they are not attending *any* college or university at this time were asked to indicate the primary reason for that decision using the following response options: Financial; Family obligations; Time commitment; Work obligations; Difficulty obtaining a visa; and Other. Fewer than 8 participants responded to this question; therefore, the results are excluded from this report.

### Application and Acceptance to Other Institutions

Participants indicated how many institutions, including USF, they applied to from the following response options: 1; 2; 3; 4; and 5 or more.

The <u>highest</u> proportion (29%) of SONHP participants indicated that they applied to three institutions.

The following figure details the proportion of SONHP participants who selected each response option.



Those who indicated they applied to more than one institution were asked to indicate how many they were admitted to from the following response options: 1; 2; 3; 4; and 5 or more.

Of those SONHP participants who indicated they:

Applied to two institutions; the <u>highest</u> proportion (70%) were admitted to both institutions.

- Applied to three institutions; 44% were admitted to two institutions and 44% were admitted to all three
  institutions.
- Applied to four institutions; 40% were admitted to two institutions and 40% were admitted to all four institutions.
- Applied to five or more institutions, the <u>highest</u> proportion (40%) were admitted to 5 or more institutions.


Participants then indicated whether or not USF was their first choice. The data below indicate the percentage of SONHP participants who indicated that USF was their first choice:

- All SONHP participants = 69%
- SONHP participants who accepted their offer of admission = 76%
- SONHP participants who began attending USF = 74%
- SONHP participants who chose to attend another college or university = 50%

Those who indicated USF was not their first choice were asked to indicate if it was their 2<sup>nd</sup> choice, 3<sup>rd</sup> choice or 4<sup>th</sup> choice or lower.

• Of the 31% of SONHP participants who indicated that USF was not their first choice, **53%** indicated it was their **2<sup>nd</sup> choice**.

The following figure details the proportion of participants who indicated that USF was their  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$ , or  $4^{th}$  choice or lower.



Participants who indicated that USF was not their first choice were asked to indicate their top 3 choices to which they were admitted using an open text field. Participant responses are below.

First Choice	Second Choice	Third Choice
Berkeley	Columbia	USF
Northwestern	Palo Alto	UCI
Nova	USF	TCSPP
SFSU		
UC Berkeley	San Francisco University	San Francisco State University
UC Davis	UNC Chapel Hill	USF
UCI	USC	
UCLA	UCI	University of San Diego
UCSF		
University of Denver	Roosevelt University	USF
University of the Pacific	Simmons University	DePaul University
university of Wisconsin	George Washington University	University of San Francisco
USC	Western University	CDU
USF	USD	UVM

### **College Characteristics**

### **ALL SONHP PARTICIPANTS**


### Level of Importance of Characteristics in Choosing College or University

All participants indicated the level of importance each of the following characteristics had in choosing a college or university using a 5-pt. scale (1 = Not at all important, 5 = Extremely Important): Academic rigor (reputation); Athletic programs; Availability of online, hybrid, and/or HyFlex courses; Availability of recreational facilities on campus; Availability of scholarships; Class size; Commitment to racial justice; Commitment to social justice; Diversity of faculty/staff; Diversity of students; Financial cost; Institution size; Job outcomes/career opportunities; Library collections/resources/services; Location; National rankings; Online learning resources; Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Quality of facilities; Religious affiliation; and Research opportunities.

- The <u>highest</u> percentage (95%) of SONHP participants indicated that Job outcomes/career opportunities were Extremely or Very Important in choosing a college or university. Of these:
  - o 78% began attending USF
  - o **19%** did not accept their offer of admission
  - o 3% accepted their offer of admission, but did not begin attending

The following figure details SONHP participants' <u>average level of importance</u> of each characteristic, disaggregated by those who began attending and those who did not accept their offer of admission.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fewer than 8 participants accepted, but did not attend; therefore, the data are not disaggregated by this demographic.



### **Rating of USF Characteristics**

All participants (whether they began attending USF or not) were asked to rate the following characteristics of USF using a 6-pt scale ( $0 = No\ opinion$ , 5 = Excellent): Academic rigor (reputation); Athletic programs; Availability of online, hybrid, or HyFlex courses; Availability of recreational facilities on campus; Availability of scholarships; Class size; Commitment to racial justice; Commitment to social justice; Diversity of faculty/staff; Diversity of students; Financial cost; Institution size; Job outcomes/career opportunities; Length of time passed between application and letter of admission; Library collections/resources/services; Location; National rankings; Online learning resources;

Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Quality of facilities; Religious affiliation; and Research opportunities. This rating gauges participants' perception of USF.


The below data indicate the percentage of SONHP participants who rated each characteristic as Excellent or Good:

- Academic rigor (reputation) = 87%
- Athletic programs = 45%
- Availability of online, hybrid, or HyFlex courses = 64%
- Availability of recreational facilities on campus = 56%
- Availability of scholarships = 44%
- Class size = 83%
- Commitment to racial justice = 79%
- Commitment to social justice = 76%
- Diversity of faculty/staff = 69%
- Diversity of students = 81%
- Financial cost = 35%
- Institution size = 73%
- Job outcomes/career opportunities = 67%
- Length of time passed between application and letter of admission = 73%
- Library collections/resources/services = 63%
- Location = **82%**
- National rankings = 71%
- Online learning resources = 69%
- Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities = 50%
- Quality of facilities = 61%
- Religious affiliation = 54%
- Research opportunities = 48%

The **majority of characteristics** (16 out of 22) were rated by the <u>highest percentage</u> of participants as either **Excellent or Good** with the exception of Athletic programs; Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Religious affiliation; and Research opportunities, which the highest percentage of participants rated as No Opinion; Availability of recreational facilities on campus, which the highest percentage of participants rated as either Good or No Opinion, and **Financial cost** which the highest percentage of participants rated as **Poor**.

The following figure details SONHP participants' average rating of each characteristic of USF, disaggregated by those who began attending and those who did not accept their offer of admission.<sup>2</sup> This rating gauges participants' perception of USF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fewer than 8 participants accepted their offer of admission but did not begin attending; therefore, the data are not disaggregated by this demographic.



### THOSE WHO DID NOT ATTEND USF


### Factors that influenced decision not to attend USF

Those who did not accept their offer of admission or did not begin attending USF were asked to indicate the factors that influenced their decision <u>not</u> to attend USF from the following response options: Academic rigor (reputation); Affordability of San Francisco/Bay Area; Athletic programs; Availability of housing in San Francisco/Bay Area; Availability of recreational facilities on campus; Availability of scholarships; Class size; Commitment to racial justice; Commitment to social justice; Diversity of faculty/staff; Diversity of students; Financial cost; Institution size; Job outcomes/career opportunities; Length of time passed between application and letter of admission; Library collections/resources/services; Location; National rankings; Online learning resources; Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Quality of facilities; Religious affiliation; Research opportunities; Too few online, hybrid,

or HyFlex courses; Too many online, hybrid, or HyFlex courses; I am an international student and could not obtain a visa; I wanted to come to the USF campus to take my courses and was unable to due to COVID-19; I am an international student and was worried about US immigration policy; I am an international student and was worried about anti-immigrant hostilities/violence in the US; and Other. Participants could select all that apply. Participants' responses to Other can be found in **Appendix A, 2.1.** 

Of participants that <u>did not accept</u> their offer of admission <u>or accepted their offer, but did not begin</u>
 attending USF, the <u>highest</u> percentage (53%) indicated that affordability of San Francisco/Bay Area was a
 factor that influenced their decision not to attend USF.

The following figure details the percentage of participants that selected each response option.



### Rating of institution they are attending

Those who indicated that they began attending a college or university other than USF were asked to rate the following characteristics of the institution they are attending using a 6-pt. scale ( $0 = No \ opinion$ , 5 = Excellent): Academic rigor (reputation), Athletic programs; Availability of online, hybrid, or HyFlex courses; Availability of recreational facilities on campus; Availability of scholarships; Class size; Commitment to racial justice; Commitment to social justice; Diversity of faculty/staff; Diversity of students; Financial cost; Institution size; Job outcomes/career opportunities; Length of time passed between application and letter of admission; Library collections/resources/services; Location; National rankings; Online learning resources; Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Quality of facilities; Religious affiliation; and Research opportunities.

The below data indicate the percentage of participants who rated the below characteristics as Excellent or Good:

- Academic rigor (reputation) = 89%
- Athletic programs = 22%
- Availability of online, hybrid, or hyFlex courses = 56%
- Availability of recreational facilities on campus = 56%
- Availability of scholarships = 44%
- Class size = 78%
- Commitment to racial justice = 67%
- Commitment to social justice =67%
- Diversity of faculty/staff = 67%
- Diversity of students = 67%
- Financial cost = 44%
- Institution size = 63%
- Job outcomes/career opportunities = 100%
- Length of time passed between application and letter of admission = 78%
- Library collections/resources/services = 78%
- Location = **89%**
- National rankings = 78%
- Online learning resources = 67%
- Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities = 44%
- Quality of facilities = 67%
- Religious affiliation = 0%
- Research opportunities = 67%

The majority of characteristics (18 out of 22) were rated by the <u>highest percentage</u> of participants as either **Excellent** or **Good** with the exception of Athletic programs and Religious Affiliation, which the highest percentage of participants rated as No Opinion and Financial cost and Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities, which the highest percentage of participants aerated as Average.


#### Rating of institution they are attending vs. ratings of USF

On average, participants who attended another college or university rated the characteristics of the college or university they are attending higher than they rated the same characteristics of USF with the exception of Religious Affiliation.

The following figure details participants' average rating of each characteristic of the college or university they are attending and compares it to the ratings they gave USF.

■ How those who attended another college or university rated that college or university





### THOSE WHO BEGAN ATTENDING USF


### Factors that influenced decision to attend USF

Participants who indicated that they began attending USF were asked to select the factors that influenced their decision to attend USF from the following response options: Academic rigor (reputation); Athletic programs; Availability of online, hybrid, or HyFlex courses; Availability of recreational facilities on campus; Availability of scholarships; Class size; Commitment to racial justice; Commitment to social justice; Diversity of faculty/staff; Diversity of students; Financial cost; Institution size; Job outcomes/career opportunities; Length of time passed between application and letter of admission; Library collections/resources/services; Location; National rankings;

Online learning resources; Opportunities to participate in extracurricular activities; Quality of facilities; Religious affiliation; Research opportunities; and Other. Participants could select all that apply. Participants' responses to Other can be found in **Appendix A, 2.2**.

• The <u>highest</u> percentage (71%) of participants who began attending USF indicated that **Academic rigor** (reputation) influenced their decision to attend.

The following figure details the percentage of participants that selected each response option.



### **Financial Factors**


### FINANCIAL AID/ASSISTANCE

### Applied for federal financial aid

Participants indicated if they applied for federal financial aid (Federal Direct Unsubsidized Loans and/or Direct Plus Loans) at USF and, if so, whether or not they received federal financial aid.<sup>3</sup>

- 79% of SONHP participants indicated that they applied for federal financial aid at USF.
- 21% of SONHP participants indicated that they did not apply for federal financial aid at USF.
  - Of SONHP participants who began attending USF, the <u>highest</u> proportion (81%) applied for federal financial aid at USF.
  - Of SONHP participants who **did not accept their offer of admission to USF**, the <u>highest</u> proportion (70%) applied for federal financial aid at USF.
- The majority (92%) of all those who applied for federal financial aid at USF received aid.

The following figure details the proportion of participants who received aid or not, disaggregated by those who began attending and those who did not accept their offer of admission.




### Type of aid received from USF

Participants indicated if they received any of the following from USF: Grant; Scholarship; Assistantship; Fellowship; and Other. Participants' responses to Other can be found in **Appendix A, 3.1**.

• Of those SONHP participants who received a grant, scholarship, assistantship, fellowship or other, the highest percentage (41%) of participants received a scholarship from USF.

The following figure details the percentage of SONHP participants that received or did not receive each kind of aid.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fewer than 8 participants accepted their offer of admission, but did not begin attending; therefore, the data are not disaggregated by this demographic.

### Aid from another college or university

Those who indicated that they chose to attend a college or university other than USF were asked if the institution they are attending offered them more financial assistance than USF. Participants could select Yes; No; or I did not apply for financial assistance at the institution I am attending. Fewer than 8 participants responded to this question; therefore, the results are excluded from this report.

### **COST OF ATTENDANCE**

Participants who indicated that they chose to attend a college or university other than USF were asked if the cost of attendance at USF is higher or lower than the institution they are attending. Fewer than 8 participants responded to this question; therefore, the results are excluded from this report.

# **Additional Thoughts**

Participants were asked if there was anything else they'd like to tell USF. Participants' responses can be found in *Appendix A, 4*.